

FOSTER WHEELER ENVIRONMENTAL CORPORATION

January 6, 2000 FWSD-RAC-00-0270 5.0

Contracting Officer (Code 5S02.RP)
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Southwest Division
Attn: Ms. Melita Orpilla
1220 Pacific Highway
San Diego, CA 92132-5190

SUBJECT:

RESPONSE TO REGULATORY AGENCY COMMENTS FOR CONTRACT

TASK ORDER 0013, DRAFT BASE-WIDE MONITOING WELL

ABANDONMENT WORK PLAN, FORMER NAVAL TRAINING CENTER,

SAN DIEGO, CA

Reference: Contract N68711-98-D-5713, Environmental Remedial Action Contract

for Sites in Southern California, Arizona, New Mexico and Southern Nevada

Dear Ms. Orpilla,

Foster Wheeler is pleased to provide you with the attached Response to Regulatory Agency Comments for Contract Task Order (CTO) 0013, Draft Base-Wide Monitoring Well Abandonment Work Plan, Former Naval Training Center, San Diego, CA. The Response to Comments addresses comments provided by Mr. Corey Walsh of the RWQCB in a December 22, 1999 email, the December 23, 1999 review letter, and a January 5, 2000 telephone conversation.

The RWQCB indicated that a final version of the Work Plan is not required, provided that changes indicated in the Response to Comments (primarily not abandoning the two Site 1 wells, and abandoning MW-4 at Site 8) are implemented. Mr. Walsh also indicated that a letter of concurrence approving the Response to Comments, and including RWQCB approval to proceed with well abandonment activities would be provided prior to the scheduled mobilization date of January 17.

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact my Project Manager, Mr. Pete Everds at (619) 234-8696 x204.

Sincerely,

Vin Fatran, D.P. M. for Neil Hart

Program Manager



RESPONSE TO REGULATORY AGENCY COMMENTS DRAFT BASE-WIDE MONITORING WELL ABANDONMENT WORK PLAN FORMER NAVAL TRAINING CENTER SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA

CONTRACT NUMBER N47408-92-D-3059 DELIVERY ORDER NUMBER 0013

Comments by: Martin Hausladen, USEPA Region IX

Dated: December 13, 1999

Response by: Pete Everds, Project Manager, Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation

Dated: January 6, 2000

Number	Reference (Page, section, etc.)	Comment	Response
		General Comments to the Work Plan:	
1	NA	The USEPA defers to the RWQCB regarding comments to the Work Plan.	Concur.

Comments by: Corey Walsh, California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Diego Region

Dated: December 22, 1999 (email) and December 23, 1999 (letter)

Response by: Pete Everds, Project Manager, Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation

Dated: January 6, 2000

	Reference		_	
Number	(Page, section, etc.)	Comment	Response	
		Comments per December 23, 1999 letter:		
1	Table 1, Figure 1	Site 1 Wells ES-14S and ES-14D are currently part of a groundwater monitoring program and should not be abandoned.	Concur. These 2 wells will not be abandoned, and Table 1 and Figure 1 will be revised accordingly.	
		Comments per December 22, 1999 email:		
1	NA	What is the status of monitoring wells at Site 13/Building 508?	SAM UST Closure Report dated 6/3/97 stated 'tank closure complete- no further action", indicating no monitoring wells were installed.	
2	NA	What is the status of monitoring wells at building 361?	The 1 well at this site, MW-1, was abandoned by Navy PWC in December 1999 during tank removal. Table 1 and Figure 1 will be revised accordingly.	
3	NA	What is the status of monitoring wells at Site 9/Building 196?	Case Closure Summary dated 2/26/96 in the Final BRAC Cleanup Plan (BCP) indicated in Section III that no monitoring wells were installed at this site.	
4	NA	What is the status of the 3 monitoring wells (MW-1,-2,-3) at the Former Laundry Facility identified as missing in the Final Report of Groundwater Monitoring Well Repair and Compliance (GMWRC) prepared by Navy PWC, 12/98?	These 3 wells were abandoned 8/7/96 under Permit #W96224. Copies of this abandonment permit and the associated driller's reports have been requested, and will be provided to the RWQCB as requested.	
5	NA	What is the status of the 3 monitoring wells (MW-1, -2, -3) at the Former Fire Fighting Facility identified as missing in the GMWRC report?	Wells believed to be destroyed during construction of new buildings on the site.	
6	NA	What is the status of monitoring wells, if installed, at Site 6?	No further action was recommended in FSI report date 6/96, and regulatory agencies concurred. There is no indication that monitoring wells were installed.	

7	NA	What is the status of monitoring wells, if installed, at Site 4?	No further action was recommended based on a 1995 PA Report, and the regulatory agencies concurred, and there is no indication that monitoring wells were installed. The site was classified as Area Type 1 (no release).
		Comments per January 5, 2000 telephone conversation:	
1	NA	RWQCB has determined that MW-4 at Site 8 can be abandoned, based on the current status of the ARCO and the car wash site investigations.	Concur. Table 1 and Figure 1 will be revised to indicated MW-4 will abandoned in addition to the other 4 wells at Site 8.

FOSTER WHEELER ENVIRONMENTAL CORPORATION

TRANSMITTAL/DELIVERABLE RECEIPT					
Contrac	et No. N-68711-98-D-5713	Document Control No. File Code: 5.0	FWSD-RAC-00-0270		
TO:	Contracting Officer Naval Facilities Engineering Command	DATE:	01/06/00 0013		
	Mr. Richard Lovering, 02R.RL 1220 Pacific Highway	LOCATION:	NTC San Diego		
FROM:	San Diego, CA 92132-5190 W. Falca D. P. M. A. Neil Hart, Program Manager	w			
	IPTION: Response to Regulatory Agenoment Work Plan, 01/06/00	cy Comments, Draft Bas	se-Wide Monitoring Well		
TYPE:	Contract/Deliverable Other	CTO Deliverable	☐ Notification		
VERSIC	ON: N/A (e.g. Draft, Draft Final, Final, etc.)	REVISION #:	N/A		
ADMIN (PM to Id	<u></u>	⊠ Category □	Confidential		
	ULED DELIVERY DATE: 01/06/00	ACTUAL DELIVE	ERY DATE: 01/06/00		
NUMBE COPIES	ER OF COPIES SUBMITTED:O/5C/6				
NAVY:	FWENC:	OTHER	2: (Distributed by FWENC)		
O/1E	lla (05B2.MO) P. Everds				
A. Muck 1C/1E	kerman (5BSC.AM)				
D. Clark 1C/1E	(06CH.DC)	SZ EL 9-1	Note/¶ine Received		
1C/1E D. Silva	way (03EN.LH) (4MG.DS)		W		
2C/2E					